

SAMPLE PAGES  
OF  
ELEMENTS OF THESES AND DISSERTATIONS

Title Page 14

Copyright Page 15

Abstract Format 16

Abstract Pages 17

Research with Human Subjects Approval Form 20

Acknowledgements Page 21

Table of Contents Pages 22

List of Tables Page 24

List of Figures Page 25

References and Appendices Half Title Division Page 26

References or Bibliography or Works Cited Pages 27

Appendix Half Title Page 28

A HISTORY OF THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF AKAMAI UNIVERSITY  
2000-2010

*(Triple spacing)*

By John J. Doe

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of

Akamai University

In partial fulfillment of the requirements

For the degree of  
*(Triple spacing)*

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
*(Double spacing)*

In  
*(Double spacing)*  
HISTORY OF EDUCATION

December, 2005

Approved:

Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

*Sample of Copyright Page*

---

- \* Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman).
  - \* Left Page margin is 1.5 inches, with right, top and bottom at 1 inch.
  - \* Text begins at 5.5" and is centered.
  - \* Location is on back of Title Page
- 

Copyright Robin A. Doe, 2004

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

### *Abstract Format*

---

- \* Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman). Capitalize as indicated.
  - Utilize single spacing and double spacing as indicated.
  - Page number (Roman numeral is shown).
  - \* Left page margin is 1.5 inches, with right, top and bottom at 1 inch.
  - \* Text begins 2.3 inches. Maximum length: Ph.D. (350 words) Master's (500 ).
  - \* Note that the title is capitalized and single-spaced if it runs more than one line.
- 

The abstract is doubled spaced and contains the following sections.

Problem Statement

Design of the Study

Major Findings

Conclusions /Implications/Recommendations

*Sample of Dissertation Abstract Pages (This abstract is too long for UMI . They will only accept an abstract of 250 words)*

CONTRIBUTIONS OF SELECTED PRIVATE  
PHILANTHROPIC FOUNDATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION  
ADMINISTRATION  
1966-1975

1978  
Jane Doe

Under the Supervision of Professor Grant Foundation

The Problem

The purpose of this study was to investigate the grant-making patterns of ten selected major private foundations for the improvements of higher education administration from 1965-66 to 1974-75 and explore the extent to which they have responded to the concerns of higher education administrators during that period. Four research questions were examined in the exploration of this problem:

1. How does private foundation grant-making for the improvement of higher Education administration compare with foundation assistance to higher education in general?
2. In what areas have private foundations provided major assistance for improving higher education administration?
3. What is the pattern of grant making to different categories of recipient institutions, e.g. private, public, and associative?
4. Which administrative concerns have received priority funding?

Design of the Study

The concerns of college and university administrators were compiled from the published papers and proceedings of seven national association groups throughout the decade.

Ten private foundations were selected as the sample. Nine were general welfare with

assets of \$100 million or more, and one was a special purpose foundation with grants given mainly to higher education. Grants given to college and university administration were compiled from the annual reports, I.R.S. forms 990's and grant lists and information supplied by the sample foundations over the decade, 1966-1975.

Contributions to higher education and higher education administration were compared over the decade. Grants for higher education administration were categorized according to seven purposes, according to recipient types of institutions and according to nine Concern areas of administrators.

### Major Findings

The major findings from this study was that these ten private foundations not only gave more grants the second half of the decade, 1971-1975, but also targeted funds more proportionately into specific priority areas. Other major findings include the following:

1. The ten private foundations gave \$194 million, or approximately 1, 635 grants for higher education administration over the decade, 1966-1975. This amounted to 12.4 percent of the grants given to higher education. The second half of the decade grants for higher education administration rose \$8million from the amount given in the first half of the decade. Grants for higher education dropped \$16 million over the second half of the decade.
2. Grants given for the purpose of institutional improvement of management and governance amounted to the largest amount given for any purpose over the decade \$91 million or 47% of the grants. In the second half of the decade, grants for individual leadership rose to five times above the amount given in the first half of the decade, from \$4 million to \$21.9 million.

And more findings 3 and 4 continue...

### Implications

The findings of this study suggest that the support for the improvement of higher Education administration is one of the top priorities of these ten private foundations. The trend to the targeting of funds to specific priority areas suggests the impact of the Tax

Reform Act of 1969. The findings also suggest that these ten private foundations will continue to increase their support to administrative concerns in the late 1970's, and the 1980's, targeting their funds into specific priority areas consistent with the concerns of administrators. Grants will probably be made increasingly to associations, and directly to individuals through foundations and foundation managed projects.

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Research with Human Subjects Approval Form*

RESEARCH WITH  
HUMAN SUBJECTS APPROVAL FORM

The Human Subjects Review Committee has examined the methods and procedures of the proposal and has agreed that the study is in compliance with the guidelines relative to research with human subjects.

1. There is adequate protection of the rights and welfare of the research participants.
2. There are sufficient procedures to guarantee adequate, appropriate, informed consent of the research subjects; and
3. The relative benefits of participation in the project exceed the relative risks.

Approved by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Committee Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



*Sample of Acknowledgements Page*

---

4. Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman). Capitalize as indicated.
  5. Text is aligned left.
  6. Text is single spaced with double spacing between persons acknowledged.
  7. Page number is not shown but is counted normally as number ii.
  8. Left page margin is 1.5 inches, with right, top and bottom at 1 inch.
  9. Text begins at around the 4-inch line. Generally, it should be in the upper center of the page, as indicated below.
- 

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the following people who assisted me in this work:

TO: Dr. John Brown, my primary mentor, who supervised my thesis  
and guided me in my studies throughout the program as well as providing  
me with support and encouragement.

To Dr. Jane Smith, my secondary mentor who...

(And others who the author wishes to thank)

*Sample of Table of Contents Pages (abbreviated)*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. . . . . ii

LIST OF TABLES . . . . . vi

LIST OF FIGURES . . . . . viii

Chapter

I. BASES FOR THE INVESTIGATION . . . . . 1

    Review of the Literature

        The Administration in Colleges and Universities

        As a Recipient of Funds

        Functions of Administration

        Environment and the Prominence of Administration

        Constraints on Administration in the University

        As a Complex Organization

        Leadership, Funds, and Chance

    Private Foundations as a Source of Funds

        Private Foundations and College and University

        Administration

        Environmental Forces for Foundation Change

        Research on Private Foundations

        Foundation Funds for Colleges and Universities

        1965-66 to 1974-75

    Statement of the Problem

    Summary

II. DESIGN OF THE STUDY . . . . . 59

    Definition of the Problem

    Basic Questions

    Definition of Terms

    Methodological Procedures

    Data Collection

    Foundation Population

    Sampling

    Analysis and Presentation of the Data

    Limitations of the Study

III. ADMINISTRATIVE CONCERNS OVER THE DECADE, 1966-1975. . . . . 92

College and University Administrative Leadership  
 Institutional Missions and Goals  
 Open Admissions-Universal Higher Education  
 Financing  
 Governance  
 Application of Management Techniques  
 Planning  
 Equal Opportunity  
 Diversity and Plurality

Summary

IV PRIVATE FOUNDATION GRANTS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION 1966-1975 .....	140
---	-----

Comparison of the Ten Foundations' Grants for Higher  
 Education and Higher Education Administration, 1966-1975

The Ten Foundations' Grants for Higher Education Administration  
 For the Seven Purposes 1966-1975

The Ten Foundations' Grants for Higher Education Administration  
 To Types of Institutions, 1966-1975

The Ten Foundation' Grants for Higher Education Administration  
 To Types of Institutions for the Seven Purposes for Five Year  
 Intervals and for the Decade, 1966-1975

The Ten Foundations' Grants for Administrative  
 Concerns, 1966-1975

Summary

V. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS .....	228
REFERENCES .....	254
APPENDICES .....	277
A. List of Foundations Contacted and Samples of Letters to Foundations .....	293
B. Classification of Grants ...	298

*Sample of List of Tables Page*

- 10. Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman). Capitalize as indicated.
  - 11. Double –spacing
  - 12. Page number small case (Roman Numeral) as shown
  - 13. Left page margin is 1.5 inches, with right, top and bottom at 1 inch.
  - 14. Heading text begins 2.3 inches and is capitalized.
- 

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Estimated Voluntary Support of Colleges and Universities 1965. -1975	51
2. Sample of Ten Foundations, their assets and grants, 1972-73 . . . . .	64
3. The Ten Foundations Grants for Higher Education for the Seven Purposes by Years 1966-1975 . . . . .	80

*Sample of List of Figures Page*

- \* Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman). Capitalize as indicated.
- \* Double spacing
- \* Page numbers (Roman numeral) as shown.
- \* Left Page margin is 1.5 inches, with right, top and bottom at 1 inch.
- \* Heading text begins 2.3 inches and is capitalized.

---

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. A Picture Series of Objects given to the Control Group	6
2. A Photo of the Interrogation Room at Polunsky Unit	22

*Sample of References and Appendices Half Title Division Page*

---

15. Title REFERENCES is written as a half title page
  16. Capitalize this title
  17. This page is not numbered but counted
  18. (A similar type page is written for a half title APPENDICES Page)
- 

REFERENCES

*Sample of References or Works Cited, Bibliography Pages*

---

19. Text is 12-point serif (Times New Roman). Capitalize as indicated.
  20. Arabic page numbers are utilized.
  21. Left page margin is 1.5 inches, with right top and bottom at 1 inch.
  22. Heading text begins 2.3 inches and is capitalized.
- 

LIST OF REFERENCES

Check Campbell, Ballou and Slade pages 111-179 for samples of referencing of books, multivolume works and series. Periodicals, reference works, public documents unpublished sources,  
Dissertations, nonprint sources and computer materials.

There are samples of parenthetical and footnote style referencing on these pages.

Akamai University checks all manuscripts for correct and consistent usage within the Chicago, MLA, or APA Styles. Failure to document references in a consistent and acceptable style necessitates that the manuscript be returned without review.

*Sample of Half Title Page preceding an Appendix*

---

- \* Begin Title of Appendix at 4.1/2 inch.
  - \* Capitalize the title page e.g. APPENDIX B
  - \* Double space with the title of the content of the Appendix
  - \* Only one type of item to be placed in each appendix
  - \* Appendix title page is counted but not numbered.
- 

Appendix B

Copies of Letters Sent to Respondents